IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3011 of 1991 WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 1992.

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

PRAVINBHAI AMBALAL PARMAR

Versus

GUJARAT TOURISM CORPORATION LTD

Appearance:

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA Date of decision: 18/12/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.Yogesh Vyas appearing for the respondent submits that the appointment as was prayed in the main Special Civil Application was given to the petitioner but after joining, the petitioner resigned and the resignation has also been accepted. Three documents have been placed on record by Mr.Vyas and the same may be kept

on record. There is no one on behalf of the petitioner to contradict this factual statement made by Mr.Vyas. Accordingly this Special Civil Application is found to have become infructuous and the same is dismissed as having become infructuous. Rule is hereby discharged. No order as to costs.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 1992.

As the main matter itself has been disposed of today, no orders are required to be passed in the Civil Application, the Civil Application accordingly stands disposed of.
